Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/745,363	KOSTRZEWSKI E	ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sherali Ishrat	2624	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Sherali Ishrat</u> .	(3)		
(2) Anup Suresh.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>8 December 2009</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Prior office Action			
Claims discussed: 5, 7, 9 32-33, 36, 41-43, 46-47, 49-55			
Prior art documents discussed: Prior art of record.			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Sherali Ishrat/			
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624	Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that Applicant's arguments are fully considered however they are not persuasive for claims 46, 47 and 48, and these claims should be cancerled. Arguments for independent claim 5 and 7 is persuavive however in claim 5, Applicant should include limitation "transforming said canonical polymial into datery as compressed data and similarly last limitation of claim 7 should also be replaced with limitation "transforming said canonical polymial into datery as compressed data" as based on the Applicant's arguments. Applicant's Attorney agreed to make amendment to the pending claims as suggested by the Examiner by an examiner's amendment.